

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,787	12/14/2005	Toshiya Saito	126167	7407	
25944 7590 02/12/2009 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320	0850	ESSEX, STEPHAN J			
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4111		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560,787 SAITO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit STEPHAN ESSEX 4111 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

10)	The drawing(s) filed o
	Applicant may not reque

est that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

# Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Αt	ta	ch	m	e	nt	s
	_	_				

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20090205

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :12/14/2005, 2/16/2006, 3/30/2006, 5/23/2008.

Art Unit: 4111

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 35(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Komiya (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0013925A1).

Regarding claim 1, Komiya teaches an electrolyte material (10, see figure 1) for a fuel cell having a proton conductive system at least comprising (a) a Brönsted acid (phosphoric or sulfuric acid, see paragraph 39) and (b) a base having a lone electron-pair wherein the base (b) has a structure in which one or more groups are added to a group having the lone electron-pair, and a total number of constitutional atoms other than H atoms included in all the added groups is three or less (1-methylimidazole, see paragraphs 12 and 13).

Regarding claims 3-5, 8 and 9, Komiya teaches the electrolyte material for the fuel cell wherein the base has a molecular weight of 300 or less (1-methylimidazole, see paragraphs 12 and 13).

Art Unit: 4111

Komiya teaches that the base comprises the group imidazole, and that the group to be added to the group having the lone pair of electrons is a hydrocarbon group having 3 or less carbon atoms. has the appropriate structure and added group (1-methylimidazole, see paragraphs 12 and 13).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of

Art Unit: 4111

35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komiva.

Regarding claims 2, 6 and 7, while Komiya does teach the use a compound having a sulfonic acid group (perfluorosulfonic acid, see paragraph 9), Komiya does not teach using it simultaneously with the base disclosed in claims 1, 3-5, 8 and 9.

However, the simple substitution of one known element for another is likely to be obvious when predictable results are achieved. See KSR Int'l v. Teleflex Inc., 127 Sup. Ct. 1727, 1742, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1397 (2007) (see MPEP § 2143). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to substitute the phosphoric or sulfuric acid of Komiya's claimed invention with the perfluorosulfonic acid discussed in Komiya's description of the related art in order to achieve the predictable result of creating a proton conducting electrolyte material. Perfluorosulfonic acid is widely available, relatively inexpensive, and is well known in the art as an effective proton-conducting material. All the claimed elements were known in the prior art and one skilled in the art could have combined the elements as claimed by known methods with no change in their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 4111

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHAN ESSEX whose telephone number is (571) 270-7866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sines can be reached on (571) 272-1263. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SJE

/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Application/Control Number: 10/560,787 Page 6

Art Unit: 4111